CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

PRIORITY SEND

D-4- M--- 20, 2000

Case No.	C V U8-3388 I	(20 (11LX)		Date	May 28, 2008
Title Share	on Parker v. '	Γarget Corporation, e	t al.,		
Present: The Honorable		PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ, United States District Judge			
Wendy Hernandez			Not Present		
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter		
Attorne	ys Present f	for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Prese	ent for	Defendants:
	None Pres	sent	None Present		
Proceedin	D	*	ORDER TO SHOW (LACK OF SUBJECT		
			May 28, 2008 Howeve opposite the box(es) check		pears the Court may
[]			sis of federal question juris t any of the claims "arise u		-
[]	Jurisdiction is asserted on the basis of diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), but all plaintiffs are not diverse from all defendants. <i>See Strawbridge v. Curtis</i> 7 U.S. (3 Cranch) 267 (1806).				
[]	but the plea		sis of diversity jurisdiction sidence, rather than the citizenship.	-	
[]			sis of diversity jurisdiction e citizenship of some of the	-	
[]	A partnersh a party. Th limited part	ip, limited liability co e Court must conside ners, or members. Th	sis of diversity jurisdiction ompany, or other unincorport the citizenship of each of ne citizenship of each of the v. Arkoma Assocs., 494	orated at the pare	association is joined as rtners, including y's partners or members

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

PRIORITY SEND

Steelworkers v. Bouligny, Inc., 382 U.S. 145 (1965); Johnson v. Columbia Props. Anchorage, LP, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006); Rockwell Int'l Credit Corp. v. U.S. Aircraft Ins. Group, 823 F.2d 302 (9th Cir. 1987).

[X]	Jurisdiction is asserted on the basis of diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 Some of the parties are corporations. The complaint is deficient because: [] the complaint does not state both the respective state(s) of incorporation and principal place of business. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c). [X] the jurisdiction averment by plaintiff(s) is patently insufficient under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c). Plaintiff(s) fail(s) to offer adequate facts to support the assertion that the principal place of business stated in the complaint is the corporate party's principal place of business. The Ninth Circuit determines a corporation's principal place of business by examining the entity's "total activities," which takes into account all aspects of the corporation's business, including where its operations are located, where it supervises that business, and where it employs persons and conducts its business. <i>Indus. Tectonics, Inc. v. Aero Alloy</i> , 912 F.2d 1090, 1094 (9th Cir. 1990) ("[T]he principal place of business should be the place where the corporation conducts the most activity that is visible and impacts the public, so that it is least likely to suffer from prejudice against outsiders."). Accordingly, in determining a corporate party's principal place of business, this Court looks to the same factors. This entails (1) determining the location of the majority of the corporation's (a) employees, (b) tangible property, and (c) production activities, and (2) ascertaining where most of the corporation's (a) income is earned, (b) purchases are made, and (c) sales take place. <i>Indus. Tectonics</i> , 912 F.2d at 1094.
[]	Jurisdiction is asserted on the basis of diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 one or more of the parties is named in a representative capacity, and the citizenship of the represented person is not alleged or appears not to be diverse. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(2).
[]	Jurisdiction is asserted on the basis of diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), but the matter in controversy does not appear to exceed \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
[]	Jurisdiction is asserted on the basis of diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a); the action involves multiple plaintiffs and/or is a class action. The complaint is deficient because it does not state that at least one of the named plaintiffs has a claim exceeding \$75,000. Where the action does not implicate a common fund or a joint interest, at least one of the named plaintiffs must meet the amount in controversy requirement. <i>Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Servs., Inc.</i> , 125 S. Ct. 2611, 2615 (2005). Where injunctive relief is sought in a multiple plaintiff action, the Ninth Circuit has held that "the amount in controversy requirement cannot be satisfied [merely] by showing that the fixed administrative costs of compliance exceed \$75,000." <i>In re Ford Motor</i>

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

PRIORITY SEND

Co./Citibank (S.D.), N.A. Cardholder Rebate Program Litig., 264 F.3d 952, 961 (9th Cir. 2001).

[]	Jurisdiction is asserted on the basis of diversity jurisdiction in a class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). The complaint is deficient because: [] the total claims of individual class members do not appear to exceed \$5,000,000 in the aggregate. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2), (5).
	[] the pleadings fail to allege that any member of a plaintiff class is a citizen of a state different from any defendant, that any member of a plaintiff class is a citizen or subject of a foreign state and any defendant is a citizen of a state, or that any member of a plaintiff class is a citizen of a state and any defendant is a citizen or subject of a foreign state. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).
	[] it appears that two-thirds or more of the members of all proposed plaintiff classes in the aggregate are citizens of the state in which the action was originally filed; the plaintiff class seeks significant relief from a defendant who is a citizen of that state and whose alleged conduct forms a significant basis for the claims; principal injuries were incurred in that state; and no related class action has been filed within the preceding three years. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(4)(A).
	[] it appears that two-thirds or more of the members of all proposed plaintiff classes in the aggregate and all of the primary defendants are citizens of the state in which the action was originally filed. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(4)(B).
	[] it appears that the primary defendants are states, state officials, or other governmental entities. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(A).
	[] it appears that the total number of members of all proposed plaintiff classes is less than 100. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(B).
	[] the action appears to involve solely securities claims or claims relating to corporate governance as described in 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(9).
[]	Jurisdiction is asserted on the basis of diversity jurisdiction in a class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). The Court may decline to exercise its jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(3) because it appears that greater than one-third but less than two-thirds of the members of all plaintiff classes in the aggregate and the primary defendants are citizens of California and one or more of the following applies:
	[] the claims asserted do not involve matters of national or interstate interest. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(3)(A).
	[] the claims asserted will be governed by California law. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(3)(B). [] the class action has not been pleaded in a manner that seeks to avoid federal jurisdiction. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(3)(C).
	 [] the forum in which the action was brought has a distinct nexus with the class members, the alleged harm, or the defendants. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(3)(D). [] the number of California citizens among all plaintiff classes in the aggregate is substantially larger than the number of citizens of any other state, and the

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

PRIORITY SEND

citizenship of the other members is dispersed among a substantial number of states. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(3)(E). [] no related class action has been filed during the preceding three years. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(3)(F).
[] Other:
Accordingly, the Court orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing no later than <u>June 26, 2008</u> why this action should not be dismissed without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Courtesy copies are to be delivered to Chambers. Failure to respond by the above date will result in the Court <u>dismissing</u> this action.
The Court further orders plaintiff(s) promptly to serve this minute order on any defendant who has been served with the complaint, or who is served before the date specified above.
Deputy Clerk

CV-90 (1/06) CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL Page 4 of 4